6-F

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



## OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

THERESA MUELLER DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECT DIAL: (415) 554-4640

THERESA\_mueller@ci.sf.ca.us

## FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Tuesday, August 29, 2000; Time: 3:26 PM

TO:

Members, Electricity Oversight Board:

MICHAEL A. KAHN

Fax No.: (916) 322-8591

**BRUCE G. WILLISON** 

Fax No.: (916) 322-8591

SENATOR DEBRA BOWEN

Fax No.: (916) 323-6056

ASSEMBLYMAN RODERICK WRIGHT

Fax No.: (916) 319-2148

FROM:

THERESA MUELLER

RE:

ISO Board of Consideration of Congestion Management Reform Proposal

**MESSAGE:** 

We are transmitting a total of 3 pages, including this cover sheet. If you did not receive all of the pages or there is another problem, please call me or ARLENE ABELLA at (415) 554-4698.

## CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

THIS AND ANY ACCOMPANYING PAGES CONTAIN INFORMATION FROM THE SAN FRANCISCO CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WHICH IS CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, THEN BE AWARE THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR USE OF THE ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENT (OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN IT) IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY OUR OFFICES IMMEDIATELY SO THAT WE CAN ARRANGE FOR RETRIEVAL AT NO COST TO YOU.

CITY HALL 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SUITE # OR FLOOR # - SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-0917 RECEPTION: (415) 554-4700 · FACSIMILE: (415) 554-4763

documents





WILLIE LEWIS BROWN, JR.

August 29, 2000

Terry M. Winter President and Chief Operating Officer California Independent System Operator 151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, California 95630 Jan Smutney-Jones Chairman of the Board of Governors California Independent System Operator P.O. Box 639014 Folsom, California 95763

Re: ISO Board Consideration of Congestion Management Reform Proposal

Dear Mr. Winter and Mr. Smutney-Jones:

I am writing to express San Francisco's serious concern over the Congestion Management Reform proposal that is scheduled for consideration by the ISO Board of Governors on September 6 and 7. Under the ISO's proposal, consumers in the Bay Area and in certain other areas of California, including San Diego, portions of the Los Angeles basin, Fresno, Humboldt, and the North Bay, could be subjected to significant increases in the prices they pay for electricity. Rough estimates indicate the potential for cost increases of hundreds of millions of dollars each year. While San Francisco will submit substantive comments on the proposal on August 30, we request that the Board vote be rescheduled for a later date when specific information on the consumer impacts of this proposal will be available.

The ISO has an obligation to provide specific data about the cost increases expected from this new proposal. After repeated requests by San Francisco's attorneys and other participants, the ISO has finally agreed to provide data on the cost impacts consumers may experience, but not until after the ISO Board votes on the proposal. Absent such information, the ISO Board cannot make a reasoned decision on this proposal. While the ISO staff spent five months developing this proposal, stakeholders were provided just over one month to review it. I understand that final and critical pieces of the proposal were not released until just a few days ago. For the ISO Board to vote on this important proposal under such circumstances undermines the credibility of the entire process.

Letter to Mr. Winter and Mr. Smutney-Jones Page Two August 29, 2000

No one in California wants to see the kind of rate shock currently being experienced in San Diego repeated in the future. The ISO's process for consideration of this proposal must be revised to allow for review of ratepayer impacts before the ISO Board votes. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Willie L. Brown, Jr.

MAYOR

cc: ISO Board of Governors CPUC Commissioners

**EOB** Members